

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/038,929 01/03/2002		1/03/2002	Ernest W. Moody	MOODY 18	8505	
24258	7590	10/09/2003		EXAMINER		
JOHN EDV			RADA, ALEX P			
2290 S. JON				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LAS VEGAS, NV 89146				ARI ONI	FAFER NUMBER	
				3714	_/	
				DATE MAILED: 10/09/2003	8	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	·			ΝK				
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	//				
`•	_	10/038,929	MOODY, ERNES	T W.				
	Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>				
•		Alex P. Rada	3714					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sh	et with the correspondence ac	idress				
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, m ly within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6 e, cause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered time MONTHS from the mailing date of this of me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	July 2003 .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ TI	his action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4)🖾	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration	l .					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requiremen	t.					
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) 🔲	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	i				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in a	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) 🗌	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)	disapproved by the Examir	ier.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action.						
12) 🔲	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received	in Application No					
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Bushes the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2)	(a)).	Stage				
14)⊠ A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	ıl application).				
) The translation of the foreign language pr Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	• •						
Attachmen	t(s)							
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Noti	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No ce of Informal Patent Application (PT r:					

Application/Control Number: 10/038,929

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

In response to the amendment filed July 28, 2003 in which the applicant has amended claims 1 and 9, and claims 1-12 are pending in this office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by DeFrees-Parrott `855 (DeFrees).
- 3. DeFrees discloses a gaming apparatus having a conventional game of chance on the gaming machine, a predetermined arrangement of symbols during the play of the convention al game of chance by means of the ticket printer awarding the player a prize either a keno ticket or a lottery ticket as recited (summary and paragraph 0039) in claims 1 and 9; the gaming machine

Application/Control Number: 10/038,929

Art Unit: 3714

is a slot machine as recited in claims 2 and 10; the predetermined arrangement of symbols, in which the examiner interprets the symbols to be any particular indicia on a slot real (summary) as recited in claim 3; the game machine is a video poker machine as recited in claims 4 and 11; the predetermined arrangement of symbols occurs in a final hand for the player (summary) as recited in claim 5.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeFrees-Parrott `855 (DeFrees).
- 6. DeFrees discloses the claimed limitations except for the final hand is a Royal Flush as recited in claim 6; the predetermined arrangement of symbols occurs in a starting hand for a player as recited in claim 7; and the starting hand is a Ten High hand or lower as recited in claim
- 8. At the time invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide a predetermined arrangement of symbols or specific outcomes because Applicant has not disclosed that the final hand being a Royal Flush, the predetermined arrangement of symbols occurs in a starting hand for a player, and the starting hand is a Ten High hand or lower provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected

Art Unit: 3714

Applicant's invention to perform equally well with a specific arrangement of symbols or card hand configuration as taught by DeFrees because any arrangement of different types of symbols (indicia) would provide the same final outcome to provide game players with an opportunities to achieve a winning outcome.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

The examiner notes that the related U. S. applications of the DeFrees-Parrott reference have been reviewed to fully support the 35 U.S.C. 102(e) rejections stated above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alex P. Rada whose telephone number is 703-308-7135. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 08:00-16:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Hughes can be reached on 703-308-1806. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

apr

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700